UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF D	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
V		Case No. 1:09 (_ Case No. 1:09 Cr 236	
	After conducting a detention hearing under lefendant be detained pending trial.	er the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142	e(f), I conclude that these facts require	
	, ,	Part I – Findings of Fact		
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offendant is charged with an offendant is a state or lexisted – that is	se described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) a ocal offense that would have been a fed		
	a crime of violence as defir which the prison term is 10	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offen years or more.	se listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for	
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.			
	an offense for which a maximum	prison term of ten years or more is preso	cribed in:	
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.			
		e of a firearm or destructive device or ar	ny other dangerous weapon	
(2)	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250 (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state			
(2)	or local offense.	s committed while the defendant was on	release pending trial for a federal, state	
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapse offense described in finding (1).	ed since the date of conviction	_ defendant's release from prison for the	
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.			
		Alternative Findings (A)		
<u>√</u> (1)	There is probable cause to believe that	the defendant has committed an offense	e	
	for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in:	*	
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		·	
(2)	2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding (1) that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.			
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defende	Alternative Findings (B)		
<u>√</u> (2)	There is a serious risk that the defenda	• •	rean or the community	
✓ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community. Part II – Statement of the Reasons for Detention				
ı	find that the testimony and information s			
evidence defenda adjudica member and that	a preponderance of the evidence to ant is an unemployed 19-year-old male wation but is not now available. He has a r of a street gang. The Government has the pointed the gun at unarmed, female be addressed by conditions short of bond	hat: with a drug and alcohol problem. His juviconviction in 2007 for possessing a swite evidence that defendant brandished a sclerks. This reckless behavior renders	enile history includes at least one ch blade. Defendant is reputed to be a hotgun in this and previous robberies	
	Part I	II – Directions Regarding Detention		
Т	The defendant is committed to the custod	• •	ed representative for confinement in a	
correction appeal. States Co	ns facility separate, to the extent practica The defendant must be afforded a reasor ourt or on request of an attorney for the C It to the United States marshal for a cour	ble, from persons awaiting or serving se nable opportunity to consult privately wit Government, the person in charge of the	ntences or held in custody pending h defense counsel. On order of United	
Date: _	September 14, 2009	Judge's Signature: _/s/ Joseph G. Scov	ville	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville	, U.S. Magistrate Judge	